


**To:** Shen, Sue[Sue.Shen@valent.com]  
**From:** Jones, Ricardo  
**Sent:** Thur 5/5/2016 7:14:18 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Feedback to Clothianidin colony feeding study question- Supers addition



Sorry, I forgot one other question we had about the data from the colony feeding study. We weren't sure whether there was digital imagery of the frames during the CCA. If this is available could you send me what information you may have from any digital imagery during the CCAs?

**From:** Jones, Ricardo  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 05, 2016 3:12 PM  
**To:** 'Shen, Sue' <Sue.Shen@valent.com>  
**Subject:** Feedback to Clothianidin colony feeding study question- Supers addition

Sue,

Based on the pattern from the excel file submitted with the supers information from the clothianidin feeding study, and the date information provided, we believe there were 3 errors in the Supers spreadsheet. Can you confirm that the highlighted cells are errors and let me know? They are:

G8 (control): 2 boxes at the start of exposure. @CCA4 (7/15-7/18), super added immediately after on 7/18. @CCA 6 (9/8-9/12), super removed immediately after on 9/9 (I would presume that this was immediately after the CCA for that group). Looking at the spreadsheet though, we think this is an error, and, like B3, this should indicate 3 boxes at CCA6 and 2 at CCA7 (instead it shows 2 already at CCA6)

L7 (T4): 2 boxes at the start of exposure. Super removed on 9/10 (in the middle of CCA6). Again, we think this is an error in the spreadsheet and it should read 2 supers at CCA 6, going down to 1 at CCA7.

E5 (T5): 2 boxes at start of exposure. Super removed on 8/6 (during/after CCA 5). Again, we think excel spreadsheet should read as 2 boxes during CCA 5 (currently shows 1) and then 1 at CCA6.

Thanks.

Ricardo